

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viggnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/750,160	12/29/2000	Simon Qin	3667-0102P	6544
759	90 08/05/2003			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, V.	A 22040-0747	LE, DIE		MINH T
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2184 DATE MAILED: 08/05/2003	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/750,160	QIN, SIMON				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dieu-Minh Le	2184				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addi	ess			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any n	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, r within the statutory minimum will apply and will expire SIX (6 cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this comone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 F</u>	<u>-ebruary 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.					
3)□	· <u>-</u>						
Dispositi	on of Claims	,					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideratior	٦.				
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requiremen	ıt.				
9) 🗆 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 <i>December 2000</i></u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)🖾	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	I.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received	I in Application No				
* S	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ol>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2)	(a)).	tage			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•		opplication).			
a;	The translation of the foreign language proacknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	visional application h	nas been received.	FF••			
Attachment		a priority under 00 0.	33 120 and of 121.				
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO- er:				
S. Patent and Tr TO-326 (Re		tion Summary	Part of Paner No. 4				

Application/Control Number: 09/750,160 Page 2

Art Unit: 2184

#### Part III DETAILED ACTION

### **Specification**

1. Claims 1-20 are presented for examination.

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable Ji et al. et al. (US Patent 5,889,943 hereafter

Art Unit: 2184

referred to as Ji) in view of Kandasamy et al. (US Patent 5,513,314 hereafter referred to as Kandasamy).

### As per claim 1:

Ji substantially teaches the invention. Ji teaches:

- a recovery system for protecting a computer system
  [abstract, col. 3, lines 8-11 and col. 9, lines 37-68]
  comprising:
  - application layer coupled to an interface and operating predetermined application program [col. 3, lines 13-40 and col. 13, lines 40-65];
  - detecting module located within computer system for monitoring a predetermined message [col. 9, lines 20-30 and col. 17, lines 18-32];
  - detecting module retrieves message in order to determine whether there is a predetermined harmful data (i.e., virus) [col. 3, lines 25-40].

Ji does not explicitly teach:

- backup data function.

However, Ji does disclose capability of:

Art Unit: 2184

- an apparatus and method for electronic mail virus detection and elimination [abstract, fig. 12-15, col. 1, lines 10-20] comprising:
- a connectivity among clients/servers, application program, display, other computing communication devices, etc... [fig. 1-3, col. 5, lines 14 through col. 6, lines 56]; virus or error detected transmitted between sources and destination or client/server environment [col. 3, lines 18-22, col. 3, lines 55 through col. 4, lines 10, and col. 17, lines 18-32].
- data transferred from one area to another, rename file names, store data files, data configuration setting, etc...

  (i.e., backup data function) [col. 9, lines 20-66].

In addition, Kandasamy explicitly teaches:

- a fault tolerant NFS server system and mirroring protocol [abstract, fig. 2, col. 3, lines 1-25];

#### comprising:

- failure detection and recovery capability [col. 3, lines 32-37];
- data retrieval and backup file system [col. 3, lines 38-52].

Art Unit: 2184

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made first, to realize the Ji's apparatus and method for electronic mail virus detection and elimination comprising a connectivity among clients/servers, application program, display, other computing communication devices in supporting virus or error detection and more specifically data transferred from one area to another, rename file names, store data files, data configuration setting, etc... (i.e., backup data function) as being the backup data function capability as claimed by Applicant. Since the Ji's apparatus and method for electronic mail virus detection and elimination does deal with the computer error detection and correction, more specifically computer virus or harmful data detection and correction, Ji clearly demonstrated the file rename, file configuration and transmission do provide computer system a continuity operation under new computer configuration or data files (i.e., data backup) environment; second, one would modify the Ji's apparatus and method for electronic mail virus detection and elimination to explicitly including failure detection and recovery capability and data retrieval and backup file system as taught by Kandasamy's a fault tolerant NFS server system and mirroring protocol in supporting the in supporting the error and/or virus

detection and correction of the computer system via clients/servers within the computer networking environment.

This modification would have been obvious because a person having ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do so to provide the error and/or virus detection and correction within a backup/recovery management computer system environment, more specifically to a computer client/server with a mechanism to enhance the computer system performance and processing in ordering to provide a continuity network operating system functionality. It is further obvious because by utilizing this approach, the computer backup/recovery system with error and/or virus detection and correction capabilities within computer system can be realized in:

- first, any error, virus, or failure occurred in a computer system can be identified, detected, corrected via data networking environment;
- second, the computer system can operate with a high reliability and flexibility environment which will correctly provide optimum data availability and connectivity;
- third, the computer system can be thoroughly managed in ensuring the entire fault detection system free of errors, improving the performance, and reducing the risk of data loss.

Art Unit: 2184

### As per claims 2-4:

Ji substantially teaches the invention. Ji teaches:

- a recovery system for protecting a computer system
  [abstract, col. 3, lines 8-11 and col. 9, lines 37-68]
  comprising:
  - a connectivity among clients/servers, application

    program, display, other computing communication devices,

    etc... [fig. 1-3, col. 5, lines 14 through col. 6, lines 56];
  - virus or error detected transmitted between sources and destination or client/server environment [col. 3, lines 18-22, col. 3, lines 55 through col. 4, lines 10, and col. 17, lines 18-32].
  - data transferred from one area to another, rename file names, store data files, data configuration setting, etc...

    (i.e., backup data function) [col. 9, lines 20-66].
  - data communication and controlling via TELNET (i.e., remotely) {col. 7, lines 1-57].

In addition, Kandasamy explicitly teaches:

- a fault tolerant NFS server system and mirroring protocol
[abstract, fig. 2, col. 3, lines 1-25];

comprising:

Art Unit: 2184

- failure detection and recovery capability via
  client/server environment fig. 4-5, col. 12, lines 1-65 and
  col. 3, lines 32-37];
- data retrieval and backup file system [col. 3, lines 38-52].

### As per claims 5-7:

Ji substantially teaches the invention. Ji teaches:

- a recovery system for protecting a computer system
  [abstract, col. 3, lines 8-11 and col. 9, lines 37-68]
  comprising:
  - a connectivity among clients/servers, application

    program, display, other computing communication devices,

    ...[fig. 1-3, col. 5, lines 14 through col. 6, lines 56] via

    LAN, WAN, Internet (i.e., electronics mails), etc...[fig. 4,

    col. 6, lines 18-56 and col. 13, lines 53-58];
  - a communication means comprising electronics mails, TCP/IP, sockets, etc... [fig. 4, col. 8, lines 34-39 and col. 13, lines 53-58];
  - harmful data comprising a file in predetermined forms of \*.EXE, \*.DOC, and \*.ZIP [col. 8, lines 59-67 and col. 19, lines 40-51].

Art Unit: 2184

In addition, Kandasamy explicitly teaches:

- a fault tolerant NFS server system and mirroring protocol
   [abstract, fig. 2, col. 3, lines 1-25];
  comprising:
  - failure detection and recovery capability via client/server environment fig. 4-5, col. 12, lines 1-65 and col. 3, lines 32-37];
  - data retrieval and backup file system [col. 3, lines 38-52].

### As per claims 8-14 and 15-20:

These two sets of method claims are similar to a backup/recovery system for protecting a computer system as described in claims 1-7. Therefore, these claims are also rejected under the same rationale applied against claims 1-7. In addition, all of the limitations have been noted in the rejection as per claims 1-7.

### Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 2184

6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expired THREE (3) months, ZERO days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to be abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dieu-Minh Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-9408. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel, can be reached on (703)305-9713. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

#### Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

#### or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, (for formal communications intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 746-7240 (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Art Unit: 2184

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

DIEU-MINH THAI LE PRIMARY EXAMINER

**ART UNIT 2184** 

DML 8/1/03